



Direct to Consumer Genetic Testing: An FDA Case Study

Elizabeth Mansfield, PhD

OIR/CDRH/FDA

11AGW

May 21, 2015



Notice

- I will discuss particular regulatory actions pertaining to 23andMe
 - Not an endorsement or a criticism of 23andMe, just publicly available facts
 - No other company has received marketing authorization for DTC genetic testing to date



Overview

- History of DTC test oversight/issues
- Policy for oversight of DTC genetic tests
- DTC genetic test submissions
- Authorization of first DTC genetic test



DTC Genetic Test History

- 2006: GAO report on DTC testing cautions that tests may make “medically unproven” claims
 - Mostly concerning nutrition claims
- 2007: with several DTC genetic tests on the market, FDA begins meetings with sponsors
- 2010:
 - FDA sent letters to DTC genetic testing companies informing them it believed their tests were medical devices
 - FDA held a public meeting to discuss how it should regulate DTC tests



More DTC History

- 2010: US Congress holds a hearing on DTC genetic tests
 - GAO describes serious problems with
 - Who can order a test
 - Different results from different test manufacturers
 - Interpretation of results
 - FDA testimony and commitment to “do something”

FDA Policy on Oversight of DTC Genetic Testing

- DTC genetic tests are medical devices subject to FDA oversight
- Even if offered as a test designed, manufactured, and used by a single lab (LDT), FDA will not exercise enforcement discretion
 - Not a type of test suitable for enforcement discretion
 - If ordered by a physician, enforcement discretion may₆ be exercised



FDA Policy Consequences

- Several test companies began to require physician prescription for their tests
- Ultimately, most tests companies left the market—regulation or low demand?
- One company chose to maintain direct consumer ordering



23andMe Submissions

- 2012: 23andMe makes two submissions to FDA for a portion of the tests they offered as the “Personal Genome Service”
- 2013: FDA sends a warning letter to 23andMe due to continued non-compliance
 - <http://www.fda.gov/ICECI/EnforcementActions/WarningLetters/2013/ucm376296.htm>



23andMe continued

- 2015: FDA authorizes marketing of 23andMe BLM (Bloom Syndrome) carrier screening test for ordering and use directly by consumers
 - De novo down-classification pathway
 - Class II
 - Exemption still pending

23andMe BLM Test

- Indications for Use:
 - The 23andMe PGS Carrier Screening Test for Bloom Syndrome is indicated for the detection of the BLM^{Ash} variant in the BLM gene from saliva collected using an FDA cleared collection device (Oragene DX model OGD-500.001). This test can be used to determine carrier status for Bloom syndrome in adults of reproductive age, but cannot determine if a person has two copies of the BLM^{Ash} variant. The test is most relevant for people of Ashkenazi Jewish descent.



Special Conditions for Use (condensed) (1)

- For over-the-counter (OTC) use
- Not intended to diagnose disease, tell you anything about the health of your fetus, or your risk or your newborn child's risk of developing a particular disease later in life
- Not a substitute for visits to a healthcare provider
- Does not detect all variants associated with Bloom syndrome



Special Conditions for Use (condensed) (2)

- Only for use in adults of reproductive age
- Does not diagnose any health conditions
- The laboratory may not be able to process your sample
- User's ethnicity may affect interpretation
- Must meet established Special Controls



Regulatory Special Controls

- Special controls: regulatory requirements for some class II devices.
- Special controls can include:
 - Performance standards
 - Postmarket surveillance
 - Patient registries
 - Special labeling requirements
 - Premarket data requirements
 - Guidelines



Special Controls: Autosomal Recessive Carrier Screening Tests

(Condensed—see FDA decision summary for complete details)

- Provide information on how to access pre- and post-test genetic counseling (if OTC/DTC)
- Use FDA authorized collection device
- Labeling—publicly available performance information



More Special Controls (3)

- User comprehension studies for appropriate for OTC/DTC
 - $\geq 90\%$ user comprehension
- Education module for recipients
 - Defines terms and explain significance of carrier status



More Special Controls (2)

- Performance
 - PPA and NPA against reference must be $\geq 99\%$ with lower bound of 95% CI presented
 - Number of specimens required to test
 - Common and rare variants
 - Predictive value and cautionary statements
 - Others



Conclusions

- Long, unusual history in regulatory area
- DTC tests must have demonstrated safety and effectiveness for the intended user
- First DTC genetic test authorized
 - Pathway established
 - More to come?
- FDA supports DTC genetic testing when performance is established and users can understand results